

United States Patent and Trademark Office

mi

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 02/23/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/609,393	07/01/2003	Sumanjit Singh	19829CON2	9372
7590 02/23/2004			EXAMINER	
Peter N. Lalos			BRYANT, DAVID P	
STEVENS, DAVIS, MILLER & MOSHER, LLP Suite 850			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1615 L Street, NW			3726	
Washington D	C 20036-5622			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/609,393	SINGH ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	David P. Bryant	3726			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, ar - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ma- earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repl reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH tute, cause the application to become ABAN	ly be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. IS from the mailing date of this communication. IDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
	his action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice unde	•	• •			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	、			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corn 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the		•			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ents have been received. ents have been received in Appriority documents have been re eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	olication No eceived in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		nmary (PTO-413) Mail Date			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/C Paper No(s)/Mail Date		rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 3726

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

<u>Page 1:</u>

The continuation data in the first paragraph should be updated to reflect the current status of Serial No. 09/950,971.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1:

Lines 3-4 recite "an upwardly and outwardly curved side wall surface extending from said shank section to a point proximate an upper surface of said head section." However, it is improper to recite that the three-dimensional side wall surface of the shank extends to a single point. Perhaps the surface could extend to a plane, but not a point. Thus, this claim language is indefinite.

Application/Control Number: 10/609,393

Art Unit: 3726

Double Patenting

A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1, 2, and 19-36, respectively, of prior U.S. Patent No. 6,663,329. This is a double patenting rejection.

Claim 1: Other than some non-distinct differences in preamble language between application claim 1 and patent claim 1, the only difference in actual claim language is the recitation that the side wall surface of the shank section extends "to a point proximate an upper surface" of the head section (application claim 1), or "to an upper portion" of the head section (patent claim 1). The examiner sees no patentable distinction between these two phrases. The language "upper portion" is inclusive of "a point proximate an upper surface" and vice versa.

<u>Claim 2:</u> Application claim 2 recites a second side wall surface that extends from "said point to the upper surface" of the head section, while patent claim 2 recites that it extends from "said first mentioned side wall surface to an upper end" of the head section. Again, there is no patentable distinction attributable to these differences in claim language.

<u>Claims 3-20:</u> These claims correspond directly to patent claims 19-36, respectively. Although patent claim 20 is dependent on patent claim 2, it is believed that this is a typo, or a printing

Art Unit: 3726

error, since this claim should have been dependent on patent claim 1 (patent claim 20 is erroneously identical to patent claim 4).

Contact Information

Telephone inquiries regarding the status of this application, or other general questions, by persons entitled to the information, should be directed to the group clerical personnel. In as much as the official records and applications are located in the clerical section of the examining groups, the clerical personnel can readily provide status information. M.P.E.P. 203.08. The Group clerical receptionist number is (703) 308-1148.

If in receiving this Office Action it is apparent to applicant that certain documents are missing, e.g., copies of references cited, form PTO-1449, form PTO-892, etc., requests for copies of such papers or other general questions should be directed to Tech Center 3700 Customer Service at (703) 306-5648, or fax (703) 872-9301 or by email to CustomerService3700@uspto.gov.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David Bryant whose telephone number is (703) 308-1859. Draft amendments or proposed changes to the application may be faxed directly to the examiner at any time via RightFAX at (703) 746-4213 (formal inquiries or responses should NEVER be faxed to this number). The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays-Thursdays from 6:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Vo can be reached on 703-308-1789. The official fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is 703-872-9306 for all communications (including After Final communications).

Other helpful telephone numbers are listed for applicant's benefit.

Allowed Files & Publication (703) 308-6789 or (888) 786-0101

(703) 308-9723 Assignment Branch Certificates of Correction (703) 305-8309 Drawing Corrections/Draftsman (703) 305-8404/8335 Petitions/Special Programs (703) 305-9285 Terminal Disclaimers (703) 305-8408 (703) 305-3257 **PCT Help Desk**

If the information desired is not provided above, or a number has been changed, please call the general information help line below.

Information Help line Internet PTO-Home Page 1-800-786-9199 http:www.uspto.gov/

> Primary Examiner Art Unit 3726